### ParaFuzz: Fuzzing Multicore OCaml programs

#### "KC" Sivaramakrishnan

#### joint work with Sumit Padhiyar and Adharsh Kamath





• Adds native support for concurrency and parallelism to OCaml

• Adds native support for concurrency and parallelism to OCaml



• Adds native support for concurrency and parallelism to OCaml



• Adds native support for concurrency and parallelism to OCaml



### **Effect Handlers**

• Adds native support for concurrency and parallelism to OCaml



### **Effect Handlers**

Domains

```
let test i =
let x = Atomic.make i in
let y = Atomic.make 0 in
let dom = Domain.spawn (fun () ->
  if (Atomic.get x = 10) then Atomic.set y 2)
in
Atomic.set x 0;
Atomic.set y 1;
Domain.join dom;
assert (Atomic.get y <> 2)
```

```
let test i =
let x = Atomic.make i in
let y = Atomic.make 0 in
let dom = Domain.spawn (fun () ->
  if (Atomic.get x = 10) then Atomic.set y 2)
in
Atomic.set x 0;
Atomic.set y 1;
Domain.join dom;
assert (Atomic.get y <> 2)
```

• The assertion can fail for a particular *input* and *scheduling* combination

```
let test i =
let x = Atomic.make i in
let y = Atomic.make 0 in
let dom = Domain.spawn (fun () ->
  if (Atomic.get x = 10) then Atomic.set y 2)
in
Atomic.set x 0;
Atomic.set y 1;
Domain.join dom;
assert (Atomic.get y <> 2)
```

- The assertion can fail for a particular *input* and *scheduling* combination
- Logic bugs are more than just detecting data races
  - No data races here

```
let test i =
let x = Atomic.make i in
let y = Atomic.make 0 in
let dom = Domain.spawn (fun () ->
  if (Atomic.get x = 10) then Atomic.set y 2)
in
Atomic.set x 0;
Atomic.set y 1;
Domain.join dom;
assert (Atomic.get y <> 2)
```

- The assertion can fail for a particular *input* and *scheduling* combination
- Logic bugs are more than just detecting data races
  - No data races here
- How can we help test Multicore OCaml programmers detect such bugs?

## Existing solutions

#### • Testing

- Stress testing run the program over and over again and hope that the assertion is triggered
- Random testing generate random inputs, and perturb the OS scheduler (somehow) to trigger bugs

## Existing solutions

#### • Testing

- Stress testing run the program over and over again and hope that the assertion is triggered
- Random testing generate random inputs, and perturb the OS scheduler (somehow) to trigger bugs
- **Model checking** SPIN, TLC model checkers
  - Strong guarantees, but not practical with limited time budget
  - Often works on a model of the program and not directly on the source code

## Existing solutions

#### • Testing

- Stress testing run the program over and over again and hope that the assertion is triggered
- Random testing generate random inputs, and perturb the OS scheduler (somehow) to trigger bugs
- **Model checking** SPIN, TLC model checkers
  - Strong guarantees, but not practical with limited time budget
  - Often works on a model of the program and not directly on the source code

#### • Formal verification

Requires expert knowledge and lots of time and effort

• Ignore concurrency for the moment, and let's focus on input nondeterminism

- Ignore concurrency for the moment, and let's focus on input nondeterminism
- Property-based testing
  - + Use a generator to generate random inputs to test a function
  - ✤ Quick-check

- Ignore concurrency for the moment, and let's focus on input nondeterminism
- Property-based testing
  - + Use a generator to generate random inputs to test a function
  - ✤ Quick-check
- Fuzzing
  - Generate random inputs to crash a program
  - + AFL Extremely effective grey-box (coverage-guided) fuzzer

- Ignore concurrency for the moment, and let's focus on input nondeterminism
- Property-based testing
  - + Use a generator to generate random inputs to test a function
  - ✤ Quick-check
- Fuzzing
  - Generate random inputs to crash a program
  - + AFL Extremely effective grey-box (coverage-guided) fuzzer
- Crowbar = Fuzzing + QuickCheck
  - Coverage-guided property-fuzzing
  - https://github.com/stedolan/crowbar

 ParaFuzz = Crowbar (Grey-box Fuzzing + Property-based testing) + Parallelism

- ParaFuzz = Crowbar (Grey-box Fuzzing + Property-based testing) + Parallelism
- How to control parallel thread scheduling?

- ParaFuzz = Crowbar (Grey-box Fuzzing + Property-based testing) + Parallelism
- How to control parallel thread scheduling?
- Idea
  - Mock parallelism API using an effect handler based scheduler
  - ✤ Yield at every synchronisation point
    - Use AFL to pick next thread to run from the queue of ready threads

- ParaFuzz = Crowbar (Grey-box Fuzzing + Property-based testing) + Parallelism
- How to control parallel thread scheduling?
- Idea
  - Mock parallelism API using an effect handler based scheduler
  - ✤ Yield at every synchronisation point
    - Use AFL to pick next thread to run from the queue of ready threads
- Synchronisation points
  - Domain (spawn, join)
  - Atomic (get, put, compare\_and\_swap)
  - Mutex (lock, unlock)
  - Condition variable (wait, notify, broadcast) also fuzz wake up order

- Idea
  - Mock parallelism API using an effect handler based scheduler
  - ✤ Use AFL to pick the thread scheduling order at synchronisation points



- Advantages
  - No false positives
  - Record and replay
  - Drop-in replacement for parallel Multicore OCaml programs

### Evaluation

```
let test i =
let x = Atomic.make i in
let y = Atomic.make 0 in
let dom = Domain.spawn (fun () ->
  if (Atomic.get x = 10) then Atomic.set y 2)
in
Atomic.set x 0;
Atomic.set y 1;
Domain.join dom;
assert (Atomic.get y <> 2)
```

Testing Technique	Executions (millions)	Time (minutes)	Bug Found
ParaFuzz	0.55	10.5	Yes
Random	108.6	60	No
Stress	25.2	60	No

### Evaluation

-

Name(abbreviation)	Bug type
mysql-bug(SQL)	race-condition
circular-list(CL)	race-condition
deadlock3(D3)	deadlock
buffer-if(BI)	deadlock
buffer-notify(BN)	deadlock
RAX-jpf(RAX)	deadlock
domainslib(DL)	deadlock
motivating-example(MX)	race-condition
effective-random-testing-example (ERT)	race-condition

	Stress	Random	ParaFuzz
SQL	0.00	0.00	1.00
CL	0.00	0.00	0.96
D3	0.00	0.00	1.00
BI	0.00	0.03	1.00
BN	0.00	0.00	1.00
RAX	0.00	0.00	1.00
DL	0.00	1.00	1.00
MX	0.00	0.00	1.00
ERT	0.00	0.00	1.00
Avg	0.00	0.003	0.99

### **Effectiveness** fraction of runs that found the bug

	Stress	Random	ParaFuzz
SQL	-	-	734.26
CL	-	-	971.16
D3	-	-	469.63
BI	-	100.76	20.36
BN	-	-	875.4
RAX	-	-	111
DL	-	0	0
MX	-	-	625.36
ERT	-	-	88.83

#### **Efficiency** Mean-time to failure





- ParaFuzz currently assumes that the programs are data-racefree (DRF)
  - DRF programs in OCaml have SC semantics

### Data races

- ParaFuzz currently assumes that the programs are data-racefree (DRF)
  - DRF programs in OCaml have SC semantics
- OCaml memory model (PLDI'18) also has a simple operational model for racy programs
  - Racy reads may return one of a subset of writes performed to a nonatomic location

### Data races

- ParaFuzz currently assumes that the programs are data-racefree (DRF)
  - DRF programs in OCaml have SC semantics
- OCaml memory model (PLDI'18) also has a simple operational model for racy programs
  - Racy reads may return one of a subset of writes performed to a nonatomic location
- Extend ParaFuzz to racy programs
  - Use AFL to pick the value that a read should return
  - Force a yield at non-atomic reads and writes

### Data races

- ParaFuzz currently assumes that the programs are data-racefree (DRF)
  - DRF programs in OCaml have SC semantics
- OCaml memory model (PLDI'18) also has a simple operational model for racy programs
  - Racy reads may return one of a subset of writes performed to a nonatomic location
- Extend ParaFuzz to racy programs
  - Use AFL to pick the value that a read should return
  - Force a yield at non-atomic reads and writes
- Can we make it fast?